



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/049,297	03/27/1998	JAY S. WALKER	WD2-98-007	5338
22927	7590 11/05/2002			
, WALKER DIGITAL			EXAMINER	
FIVE HIGH R STAMFORD,			HAYES, JOHN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Int rview Summary	09/049,297	WALKER ET AL.	<b>/</b>			
int rview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	John W Hayes	3621				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) John W Hayes.	(3) <u>Dean Alderucci</u> .					
(2) Mike Down.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 04 November 2002.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>98-108</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the 35 USC 101 rejection with respect to claims 98-108. Examiner indicated that the claims are rejected under 35 USC 101 since the claims are directed to an abstract idea without the use of any technological art or environment. Examiner also indicated that the claims are distinguished from the prior art in terms of patentable subject matter.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office						
action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature/if required